



**HARMAL PANCHAKROSHI SHIKSHAN MANDAL'S
GANPAT PARSEKAR COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
Vidya Sankul, Bhom Plateau, Harmal, Pernem – Goa 403 524
(Affiliated to Goa University, Recognised by NCTE)**



Workshop on development of Language skills

Harmal Panchakroshi Shikshan Mandal's
Ganpat Parsekar College of Education
Harmal, Pernem, Goa
(Affiliated to Goa university, Recognised by NCTE)

Report

Type of Event: Workshop on development of Language skills

Mode: Physical Mode

Date and Place: 15/12/2022 and 16/12/2022 at College library, HPSM's Ganpat Parsekar College of Education, Harmal- Goa, 403524
Time - 2.30 pm to 5.30 pm

Objectives:

1. To impart theoretical knowledge of language skills to primary teachers.
2. To impress the importance of language skills to primary teachers.
3. To guide for planning language skill activities for primary teachers.
4. To guide primary teachers to develop language skills activities.
5. To prepare record charts of language skills activities for primary teachers.
6. To explain the role of teachers in implementing language skills activities for primary teachers.

Members of the Organizing Body:

Sr. No	Name
1	Ms.Prachiti Ganpule, Convenor, SIASEC
2	Dr. Archana Gaitonde, Convenor, Consultancy Committee
3	Dr. Vibha Lad, Member, SIASEC
4	Dr. Rajiv Pancham, Member, SIASEC
5	Ms. Akshatra Fernandes, Member, SIASEC
6	Ms. Durva Mandrekar, Member, CC
7	Ms. Niti Naik, Member, CC
8.	Ms. Gauresh Velip, Member, CC

More details:

Actual Program Details:

Resource Person: Mr. Sagar Davari, Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Ganpat Parsekar College of education, Harmal, Goa

Duration: 3 hrs


Timing: 2:30 pm to 5:30 pm

Target audience: Primary school teachers from Government aided primary schools in Pernem taluka.

Continuous professional development program was organized by Ganpat Parsekar College of Education in coordination with SCERT, Government of Goa.

On 15th December 2023, the program started with formal welcome, and the introduction of the guests which was done by Ms. Rusy Parab and Ms. Rashmita Banaulikar. The formal function was followed by an interactive session on Language skill development by Mr. Sagar Davari. On 16th December 2023, the practical session began. This was then followed by question answer session. Finally the session ended with the vote of thanks which was proposed by Asst. Prof. Ms. Prachiti Ganpule.. Compering on Day 2 was done by Asst. Prof. Ms. Durva Mandrekar. A token of gratitude was also given to the resource person. The teachers provided valuable feedback on the sessions held. Thereafter, virtual feedback was collected and participation certificates were sent to the respective teachers.

Attached herewith the photographs of the session



Ms. Prachiti Ganpule
Convenor, Student Induction Programme &
Academic Student Exchange Committee

Dr. Archana Gaitonde
Convenor, Consultancy Committee

Mr. Udesh Natekar
Officiating Principal

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

The workshop venue was comfortable and well located. Ref. Note : 1	The workshop content was: a) Relevant b) Comprehensive c) Easy to understand Ref. Note : 2	The workshop a) Was well paced b) Breaks were sufficient c) Was a good mix between listening and activities Ref. Note : 3	The activities were useful learning experiences. Ref. Note : 4	The facilitators were: a) Knowledgeable b) Well-prepared c) Responsive to participants' questions Ref. Note : 5	Would you recommend this workshop to someone else? Ref. Note : 6	What did you like best about this workshop? Ref. No. 7	What did you like least about this workshop? Ref. No. 8
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	.	.
1	1	2	2	1	Yes	Hands-on training was provided and interactive	Try to conduct during morning session
1	1	1	1	1	Yes
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	Teaching	-
1	1	1	1	1	Yes
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	.	.
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	.	.
1	2	2	2	2	Yes	New changes in teaching learning has been added was good.	It was little one sided.
1	3	2	2	1	Yes	New information was given	One sided
4	3	2	2	2	Yes	Explanation, presentation,	Venue,time
2	2	1	2	1	Yes	Trainer was well spoken and well prepared with the course content.	Location and travel.

4	3	3	2	2	Yes	Presentation and explanation of Sagar Sir. We definitely help this workshop and also we implemented in our teaching	Venue of workshop.
4	1	2	2	2	Yes	All is good	Good
4	2	1	3	1	No	good explanation, writing skills was like best	All language skills ilike
2	1	1	2	1	Yes	List of activities according to 4 Skills.	Timing
2	2	1	2	1	Yes	Presentation	00
2	2	2	2	1	No	Well explained	Nothing
3	1	1	2	1	Yes	Easy to understand, related to the topic ,helpful	Very long distance for us
1	1	2	2	2	Yes	Informative and well prepared	.
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	Detailed information regarding the Marathi language.	Nothing.
2	3	3	3	4	Yes	Information on skills were updated	Everything was good i.e matter was excellent.
2	1	3	1	1	Yes	Presentation was interesting, helpful and interactive session.	It was helpful
2	2	2	3	3	No	Nicely explained	Presentation
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	Resource person explained nicely about language skills	Teachers participation in activities
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	Well planned and well organised workshop Explained nicely.	Nil
2	1	3	1	1	Yes	Workshop was interesting helpful	Helpful and useful

4	2	1	1	2	Yes	His explanation	Friendly enjoyable work Shop
4	3	3	3	3	No	Workshop topic	Place is too far from my school. It should be somewhere at midpoint, so that comfortable for all to attend.
1	1	1	1	1	Yes	Power point Presentation. Knowledgeable resource person.	Nothing
2	3	2	2	1	Yes	Useful material	Planning
4	2	2	2	2	Yes	Resource person was knowledgeable	The venue was far away from our school
3	3	3	3	3	Yes	Information of lang skill development.	Everything was good.
1	1	2	2	1	Yes	Language skills	Less of activities
4	3	2	3	3	Yes	Repeated task	Targeting the primary Schools
4	3	1	2	2	Yes	Planning, explanation.	Time,venue
1	3	3	1	1	No	Good	Good
4	3	3	3	2	Yes	Pronunciation of marathi letters	Bhashik shamata

Note : 1 Rating towards comfort and location of the workshop venue.
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)

Note : 2 Rating towards the workshop content w. r. t. :
a) Relevance
b) Comprehensiveness
c) Ease in understanding
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)

Note : 3. The workshop
a) Was well paced
b) Breaks were sufficient
c) Was a good mix between listening and activities
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)

Note : 4 The activities were useful learning experiences.
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)

Note 5 - The facilitators were:
a) Knowledgeable
b) Well-prepared
c) Responsive to participants' questions
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)

Note : 6. Recommendation of this workshop to someone else.
(4 is the highest and 1 is the lowest)